



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/748,137	12/27/2000	Hiromoto Inoue	50073-042	3305
7590 07/06/2004				
MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096		EXAMINER AKKAPEDDI, PRASAD R		
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2871		

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/748,137	INOUE, HIROMOTO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Prasad R Akkapeddi	2871	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dr. Prasad R Akkapeddi-Examiner. *PRA* (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Bernard P. Codd-Applicant's Representative. *BPC* (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 June 2004.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yes.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Codd elaborated the main points recited in claim 1. Mr. Codd's position is that the applied reference does not teach the cushion material has an outer surface opposing to the fitting portion. Examiner elaborated his position and the Examiner maintains that the cushion material as disclosed on Col. 18, lines 50-60 meets the limitations.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

P. R. Akkapeddi
Examiner's signature, if required

McDermott Will & Emery

Boston Brussels Chicago Düsseldorf London Los Angeles Miami Milan
Munich New York Orange County Rome San Diego Silicon Valley Washington, D.C.

FACSIMILE

Date: June 28, 2004

Time Sent:

To:	Company:	Facsimile No:	Telephone No:
Prasad R. Akkapeddi	USPTO, AU 2871	571.273.2285	571.272.2285
From:	Bernard P. Codd	Direct Phone:	202.756.8182
E-Mail:	bcodd@mwe.com		
Sent By:		Direct Phone:	
Client/Matter/Tkpr:	50073/042/5624	Original to Follow by Mail:	No
		Number of Pages, Including Cover:	3

Message:

Interview Request

The information contained in this facsimile message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copy of this facsimile is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original message to us at the below address by mail. Thank you.

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES, PLEASE CALL AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

Main Facsimile: 202.756.8087 Facsimil Operator: 202.756.8090

U.S. practice conducted through McDermott Will & Emery LLP.
600 Thirteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-3096 Telephone: 202.756.8000

WDC99 941590-1.050073.0042

PTOL-413A (03-03)

Approved for use through xx/xx/xxxx. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 09/748,137 First Named Applicant: Hiromoto INOUE
 Examiner: Prasad R. Akkapeddi Art Unit: 2871 Status of Application: Pending

Tentative Participants:

(1) Prasad R. Akkapeddi (2) Bernard P. Codd
 (3) _____ (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: June 30, 2004

Proposed Time: 10 (AM/PM)

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☐ Telephone (2) ☒ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☐ NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc.)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>Rej.</u>	<u>1 and 2</u>	<u>Sasuga et al.</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

Claim 1 requires that the LCD is fitted into the cushion material, in which the cushion material has an outer surface opposing to the fitting portion. It is not seen where Sasuga discloses or suggests the claimed cushion material with a fitting portion. The Examiner concludes that it would have been obvious to adapt the cushion material into a frame-like form that has an outer surface opposing to the fitting portion so that the whole module is firmly held in its entirety without any necessity for other fixing members. Applicant does not propose to amend the claims. Applicant intends to further explain the differences between Sasuga and the claimed invention, and/or request further explanation from the Examiner where Sasuga teaches the fitting portion and how the cushion material of Sasuga could be modified to read on claim 1.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on: _____

Note:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)